Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/653,487	BELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert M. Pond	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>	
(1) Robert M. Pond.	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph P. O'Malley, Reg. #36,226</u> .	·(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2005	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	<i>,</i>	
Claims discussed:		
None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
No reply to telephonic message left for Mr. O'Malley on 3 November 2005 was received. No evidence exists of a proper reply to the final office action after eight (8) months from mailing.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
MATHUR	· ·.	
Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner	·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applicant)	Abusanta Hebicaciliania Oli	griature — ii appropriate)